

## NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

### EXECUTIVE BOARD CITY CENTRE COMMITTEE

**MINUTES of meeting held on 19 SEPTEMBER 2013 at Loxley House from 1.41 pm to 2.11 pm**

|  | <b>Portfolio</b>  |
|--|---|
| ✓ Councillor Nick McDonald<br>(Chair)      | Jobs and Growth   |
| ✓ Councillor Nicola Heaton<br>(Vice-Chair) | Community Services  |
| ✓ Councillor Graham Chapman                | Deputy Leader/Resources and Neighbourhood<br>Regeneration |
| ✓ Councillor Jon Collins                   | Leader/Strategic Regeneration and Community Safety        |
| ✓ Councillor David Trimble                 | Leisure and Culture (minute 11 only)                      |
| ✓ Councillor Jane Urquhart                 | Planning and Transportation (minute 11 only)              |
| ✓ indicates present at meeting             |   |

#### **Colleagues, partners and others in attendance:**

|                  |   |                                    |
|------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| David Bishop     | - | Corporate Director for Development |
| Nicki Jenkins    | - | Head of Economic Development       |
| Catherine Mayhew | - | City Centre Co-ordinator           |
| Angie Rawson     | - | Licensing Manager                  |
| Laura Wilson     | - | Constitutional Services Officer    |

#### **Call-in**

Unless stated otherwise, all decisions are subject to call-in and cannot be implemented until Wednesday 2 October 2013.

#### **7 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

None.

#### **8 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS**

None.

#### **9 MINUTES**

The Committee confirmed the minutes of the meeting held on 9 July 2013 as a correct record and they were signed by the Chair.

#### **10 REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF LICENSING POLICY**

The Committee considered the Director of Community Protection's report detailing the process for consulting on and approving the Statement of Licensing Policy and informing the Committee that the Policy has implication for the future location of City Centre licensed businesses.

**RESOLVED to**

- (1) note the report on the Statement of Licensing Policy considered at Full Council on 8 July 2013;**
- (2) request the Licensing Manager to explore reducing the area of the Canal Quarter covered by the City Centre Saturation Zone;**
- (3) request the Licensing Manager to explore expanding the area of the Castle Quarter covered by the City Centre Saturation Zone.**

Reasons for decision

To address the implications the Statement of Licensing Policy and City Centre Saturation Zone has on the future location of City Centre licensed businesses.

Other options considered

No other options were considered.

**11 CITY CENTRE TIME AND PLACE PLAN – APPROVAL FOR CONSULTATION**

The Chair of the Committee agreed that part of this item, the Time and Place Plan, although not published on the agenda, could be considered as a matter of urgency in accordance with Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, because consultation on the Plan is due to go live before the next meeting of this Committee and it is important that the Committee is fully briefed ahead of then.

The Committee considered the Corporate Director for Development's report which requested approval to formally consult on the Time and Place Plan which proposes a framework of City Centre Quarters, each with their own character, to be encouraged and enabled using regeneration, economic development, planning and licensing powers and functions.

**RESOLVED to**

- (1) approve the draft City Centre Time and Place Plan for consultation, subject to changes, delegated to the Chair of the Committee;**
- (2) note that a further report will be submitted to the Committee early in 2014 to consider consultation results and a final plan for consultation;**
- (3) request that the Time and Place Plan be considered alongside the Licensing Policy and Planning Policies when determining licensing and planning applications.**

Reasons for decision

To enable the draft City Centre Time and Place Plan to be approved for consultation purposes.

Other options considered

Not drafting a City Centre Time and Place Plan was rejected because it was considered that a co-ordinating document is required to ensure the best balance of uses in the City Centre, both in spatial terms and covering day time and night time activities.